## PARENTS FEEDBACK REPORT

### **ACADEMIC SESSION 2019-2020**



(July 2020)

INDRAPRASTHA INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL
GURU GOBIND SINGH INDRAPRASTHA UNIVERSITY
SEC 16-C, DWARKA, NEW DELHI-110078

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1. BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE	
1.1 PROCEDURE	3
2.1 UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT STUD	OIES4
2.1.1 SUGGESTED ACTION PLAN FOR USMS	6
2.2 UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGY	
2.2.1 SUGGESTED ACTION PLAN FOR USICT	8
2.3 UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF ARCHITECTURE ANI	PLANNING9
2.3.1 SUGGESTED ACTION PLAN FOR USAP	10
2.4 UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW AND LEGAL ST	U <b>DIES1</b> 1
2.4.1 SUGGESTED ACTION PLAN FOR USLLS	12
2.5 UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF BIO TECHNOLOGY	13
2.5.1 SUGGESTED ACTION PLAN FOR USBT	14

#### 1. BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

The leadership at Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University believes that in order to grow in the right direction feedback from all its stakeholders is necessary. The stakeholders in this process are students, their parents, alumni, faculty of the university and the employers who are providing opportunity to the students of the university. The feedback received every year from its various stakeholders has enabled university to improve its systems, policies, infrastructure, programme curriculum since its inception.

University understands that Parents have the right to know progress of their ward and their feedback is essential for the overall improvement and continuous development of university. The parents are thus asked about academic quality, information sharing and interaction with faculty, fair and transparent evaluation system, placement support and holistic development of their ward.

#### 1.1 PROCEDURE

The IIQAC takes feedback from the parents of each University School of Studies every year on following parameters

- Academic Quality- Under this parameters Parents are asked if academic discipline is appropriate or not in terms of timely conduct of lectures, practical and other related activities, quality of teaching and training offered to their ward.
- 2. **Information Sharing and Interaction** This parameter asks parents regarding interaction with faculty and staff of the school and availability and sharing relevant information to parents.
- 3. **Evaluation System-** The parents are asked regarding transparency of the examination and evaluation system and effectiveness of the continuous evaluation mechanisms.
- 4. **Holistic Development of Student-** The parents are asked about the overall growth and personality development of their ward, balance between academics, co-curricular and sports activities, and development of soft skills, awareness about social, cultural and ethical issues.
- 5. **Placement Support-** Parents are asked about relevance of curriculum for attaining next level goals (further education) and placement support provided by university.

Please Note: Due to Pandemic the feedback from the parents of students in various schools was not received this year and for some schools there were only one or two responses. The feedback analysis is done only for schools where feedback is received from at least 10 or more parents.

#### 2.1 UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES


The feedback received from the students' Parents in 2019-20 is evaluated by calculating mean scores on various criteria. The feedback for USMS shows that parents are highly satisfied with the 'Academic Quality'. The parents are highly satisfied with the timely conduct of lectures, quality of teaching and training offered to students.

As regards with 'Information Sharing and Interaction' parents are highly satisfied. They found faculty available for interaction. Parents are highly satisfied with the 'Evaluation System'. They find continuous evaluation system to be effective and examination system to be completely transparent.

Table 2.1: Mean Scores of Parent's Feedback on Various Parameters

Parameters	2020
Simplicity and fairness of the admission procedure of the university	4.18
Academic discipline in terms of timely conduct of lectures, practical	4.11
and other related activities in the school of your ward	
Quality of teaching and training offered to your ward	4.08
Academic Quality	4.12
Interaction with faculty and staff of the school of your ward	4.35
Availability and sharing of relevant information on the university	4.27
Information Sharing and Interaction	4.31
Transparency of the examination and evaluation system of the	4.20
university	
Effectiveness of the continuous evaluation mechanism	4.21
Evaluation System	4.21
Overall growth and personality development of your ward while	4.34
studying in the university	
Balance between academics and other co-curricular and sports	4.14
activities	
Development of soft skills and awareness about social, cultural and	4.22
ethical issues while studying in the university	
Holistic Development of Student	4.23
Relevance of curriculum for attaining next level goals (Higher studies	4.40
or Placement)	
Career orientation and placement support provided by the university	4.24
Placement Support	4.32

Figure 2.1: Mean Scores of Parent's Feedback on Various Parameters



1. Parents are generally happy with the efforts of the school

#### 2.1.1 SUGGESTED ACTION PLAN FOR USMS

1. Parents have reported no particular suggestions so no action item based on parents' feedback.


## 2.2 UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY

The feedback received from the students' Parents in 2019-20 is evaluated by calculating mean scores on various criteria. The feedback for USICT shows that parents are highly satisfied with the 'Academic Quality'. The parents are highly satisfied with the timely conduct of lectures, quality of teaching and training offered to students.

As regards with 'Information Sharing and Interaction' parents are highly satisfied. They found faculty available for interaction. Parents are highly satisfied with the 'Evaluation System'. They find continuous evaluation system to be effective and examination system to be completely transparent.

Table 2.2: Mean Scores of Parent's Feedback on Various Parameters

Parameters	2020
Simplicity and fairness of the admission procedure of the university	4.22
Academic discipline in terms of timely conduct of lectures, practical	4.18
and other related activities in the school of your ward	
Quality of teaching and training offered to your ward	4.06
Academic Quality	4.15
Interaction with faculty and staff of the school of your ward	4.30
Availability and sharing of relevant information on the university	4.36
Information Sharing and Interaction	4.33
Transparency of the examination and evaluation system of the	4.32
university	
Effectiveness of the continuous evaluation mechanism	4.33
Evaluation System	4.33
Overall growth and personality development of your ward while	4.19
studying in the university	
Balance between academics and other co-curricular and sports	4.20
activities	
Development of soft skills and awareness about social, cultural and	4.18
ethical issues while studying in the university	
Holistic Development of Student	4.19
Relevance of curriculum for attaining next level goals (Higher studies	4.26
or Placement)	
Career orientation and placement support provided by the university	4.33
Placement Support	4.30



1. Parents have not given any specific suggestion

#### 2.2.1 SUGGESTED ACTION PLAN FOR USICT

1. No Specific suggestion

# **2.3 UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF ARCHITECTURE AND PLANNING**


The feedback received from the students' Parents in 2019-20 is evaluated by calculating mean scores on various criteria. The feedback for USAP shows that parents are highly satisfied with the 'Academic Quality'. The parents are highly satisfied with the timely conduct of lectures, quality of teaching and training offered to students.

As regards with 'Information Sharing and Interaction' parents are highly satisfied. They found faculty available for interaction. Parents are highly satisfied with the 'Evaluation System'. They find continuous evaluation system to be effective and examination system to be completely transparent.

Table 2.3: Mean Scores of Parent's Feedback on Various Parameters

Parameters	2020
Simplicity and fairness of the admission procedure of the university	4.18
Academic discipline in terms of timely conduct of lectures, practical	4.11
and other related activities in the school of your ward	
Quality of teaching and training offered to your ward	4.08
Academic Quality	4.12
Interaction with faculty and staff of the school of your ward	4.33
Availability and sharing of relevant information on the university	4.29
Information Sharing and Interaction	4.31
Transparency of the examination and evaluation system of the	4.23
university	
Effectiveness of the continuous evaluation mechanism	4.26
Evaluation System	4.25
Overall growth and personality development of your ward while	4.34
studying in the university	
Balance between academics and other co-curricular and sports	4.14
activities	
Development of soft skills and awareness about social, cultural and	4.22
ethical issues while studying in the university	
Holistic Development of Student	4.23
Relevance of curriculum for attaining next level goals (Higher studies	4.40
or Placement)	
Career orientation and placement support provided by the university	4.24
Placement Support	4.32

Figure 2.3: Mean Scores of Parent's Feedback on Various Parameters



1. Parents have requested for more placement support.

#### 2.3.1 SUGGESTED ACTION PLAN FOR USAP

1. The school is requested to provide placement support.

#### 2.4 UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW AND LEGAL STUDIES


The feedback received from the students' Parents in 2019-20 is evaluated by calculating mean scores on various criteria. The feedback for USLLS shows that parents are highly satisfied with the 'Academic Quality'. The parents are highly satisfied with the timely conduct of lectures, quality of teaching and training offered to students.

As regards with 'Information Sharing and Interaction' parents are highly satisfied. They found faculty available for interaction. Parents are highly satisfied with the 'Evaluation System'. They find continuous evaluation system to be effective and examination system to be completely transparent.

Table 2.4: Mean Scores of Parent's Feedback on Various Parameters

Parameters	2020
Simplicity and fairness of the admission procedure of the university	4.14
Academic discipline in terms of timely conduct of lectures, practical	4.25
and other related activities in the school of your ward	
Quality of teaching and training offered to your ward	4.23
Academic Quality	4.21
Interaction with faculty and staff of the school of your ward	4.22
Availability and sharing of relevant information on the university	4.25
Information Sharing and Interaction	4.24
Transparency of the examination and evaluation system of the	4.24
university	
Effectiveness of the continuous evaluation mechanism	4.23
Evaluation System	4.24
Overall growth and personality development of your ward while	4.23
studying in the university	
Balance between academics and other co-curricular and sports	4.17
activities	
Development of soft skills and awareness about social, cultural and	4.12
ethical issues while studying in the university	
Holistic Development of Student	4.17
Relevance of curriculum for attaining next level goals (Higher studies	4.12
or Placement)	
Career orientation and placement support provided by the university	4.00
Placement Support	4.06

Figure 2.4: Mean Scores of Parent's Feedback on Various Parameters



1. Parents have requested for placement support

#### 2.4.1 SUGGESTED ACTION PLAN FOR USLLS

1. The school is requested for Placement Support

#### 2.5 UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF BIO TECHNOLOGY


The feedback received from the students' Parents in 2019-20 is evaluated by calculating mean scores on various criteria. The feedback for USBT shows that parents are highly satisfied with the 'Academic Quality'. They have given feedback as 'Very Good' overall for simplicity and fairness of Admission procedure, and overall 'Above Average' for the quality of teaching and discipline in lectures and other academic activities.

As regards with 'Information Sharing and Interaction' parents are highly satisfied. They found faculty available for interaction. Parents are highly satisfied with the 'Evaluation System'. They find continuous evaluation system to be effective and examination system to be completely transparent.

Table 2.5: Mean Scores of Parent's Feedback on Various Parameters

Parameters	2020
Simplicity and fairness of the admission procedure of the university	4.08
Academic discipline in terms of timely conduct of lectures, practical	4.00
and other related activities in the school of your ward	
Quality of teaching and training offered to your ward	4.00
Academic Quality	4.04
Interaction with faculty and staff of the school of your ward	4.22
Availability and sharing of relevant information on the university	4.0
Information Sharing and Interaction	4.11
Transparency of the examination and evaluation system of the	4.23
university	
Effectiveness of the continuous evaluation mechanism	4.21
<b>Evaluation System</b>	4.22
Overall growth and personality development of your ward while	4.28
studying in the university	
Balance between academics and other co-curricular and sports	4.22
activities	
Development of soft skills and awareness about social, cultural and	4.25
ethical issues while studying in the university	
Holistic Development of Student	4.25
Relevance of curriculum for attaining next level goals (Higher studies	4.08
or Placement)	
Career orientation and placement support provided by the university	3.81
Placement Support	3.95

Figure 2.5: Mean Scores of Parent's Feedback on Various Parameters



1. Parents have requested for placement support

#### 2.5.1 SUGGESTED ACTION PLAN FOR USBT

1. The school is requested for Placement Support